## Supplementary Material: Why Naked Mole-Rats Have High Oxidative Damage But Live a Long Life: A Simple Explanation Based on the Oxidative Stress Theory of Aging

Wenyun Zuo<sup>1</sup>, Xiaolong Tang<sup>2</sup>, and Chen Hou<sup>\*3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305-5020, USA

<sup>2</sup>The College of Life Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, 730006, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri, 65409, USA

<sup>\*</sup>corresponding author: houch@mst.edu

## 1 Variable description

Variables	Definition	Unit
$B_{rest}$	Resting metabolic rate, $B_{rest} =$	energy/time
	$B_{rep} + B_{syn}$	
$B_0$	Normalization coefficient, a con-	$energy/time/(biomass)^{3/4}$
	stant within a taxa. $B_{rest} =$	
	$B_0 m^{3/4}$	
$B_{rep}$	Rate of metabolic energy allo-	energy/time
	cated to repairing (somatic main-	
	tenance)	
$B_{syn}$	Rate of metabolic energy to syn-	energy/time
	thesize new biomass, including	
	growth and reproduction, $B_{syn} =$	
	$E_m \times G$	
B <sub>act</sub>	Rate of metabolic energy allocated	energy/time
	to activities, $B_{act} \propto B_{rest}$	
$B_{tot}$	Total metabolic rate, $B_{total} =$	energy/time
	$B_{act} + B_{rest} = f B_{rest}$ , where f is a	
	constant for given taxon. $f\approx 2\sim$	
	3.	
$B_{ms}$	Mass-specific resting metabolic	energy/time/mass
	rate, $B_{ms} = B_{rest}/m(t)$	
C	Threshold of the damage level	unitless
D(t)	Mass-specific accumulated damage	unitless
$D_{total}(t)$	Total accumulated damage	biomass
$E_m$	Energy required to synthesize one	energy/time
	unit of biomass	
G	Growth rate, $G = dm/dt$	biomass/time
LS	Lifespan	time
m(t)	body mass at age $t$	biomass
$m_0$	body mass at birth	biomass
M	adult body mass	biomass

Table A1: Variables and parameters used in the model.

Н	Rate of damage production, $H =$	biomass/time
	$\delta \times B_{rest}$	
R	Rate of repair, $R = \eta \times B_{rep} =$	biomass/time
	$\eta(B_{rest} - E_m G)$	
t	Age	time
δ	Damage coefficient, a constant	damaged mass/energy
	within a species	
$\eta$	Repair coefficient, a constant	damaged mass/energy
	within a species	

## 2 Derivation of Eq.1 in main text

Here we give a detailed derivation of Eq.1 in the main text based on Hou [25].

First we assume that the rate of raw damage production is proportional to the total metabolic rate (equivalent to oxygen consumption rate), i.e.,  $H = a \times B_{tot}$ , where a is a constant within a species, indicating the production of damage that is associated with one unit of energy consumption. Numerous data have shown that, at least in birds and mammals,  $B_{tot}$  is proportional to  $B_{rest}$  [27-29],  $B_{tot} = f \times B_{rest}$ , where f is a constant indicating the level of activity. In general, f averages around  $2 \sim 3$  within a taxon [27-30]. Using this relationship the raw damage production H can be expressed in terms of resting metabolic rate  $B_{rest}$ ,  $H = a \times B_{tot} = a \times f \times B_{rest} = \delta \times B_{rest}$ , where  $\delta = a \times f$ . Thus, although H is expressed in terms of resting metabolic rate, it actually estimates the rate of the total raw damage production that is associated with the rate of total oxygen consumption, including the oxygen consumption at rest and that during activity. The activity contributes to damage through f, which is usually a constant. Below we will use the relationship  $H = \delta \times B_{rest}$  to derive Eq. 1 in the main text.

We further assume that the amount of damage that can be repaired is proportional to one unit of metabolic energy allocated to repair as  $R = \eta B_{rep}$ , where  $\eta$  is a constant within a species, and  $B_{rep}$  is the energy allocated to repair. As explained in the main text, the resting metabolic energy is partitioned between the energy allocated to maintain existing biomass,  $B_{rep}$ , and the energy allocated to synthesize new biomass,  $B_{syn}$ , i.e.,  $B_{rest} = B_{rep} + B_{syn}$ . The energy allocated to synthesize new biomass is  $B_{syn} = E_m G$ , where  $E_m$  is the energy required to synthesize one unit of biomass, and G is growth rate (biomass gain per unit time). Putting these relationships together we have  $R = \eta B_{rep} = \eta (B_{rest} - B_{syn}) = \eta (B_{rest} - E_m G)$ .

Having the raw damage production rate H and the repair rate R, the rate of net damage production,

$$H - R = \delta B_{rest} - \eta (B_{rest} - E_m G)$$
$$= (\delta - \eta) B_{rest} + \eta E_m G.$$

Damage accumulates over time. Thus, the total net damage,  $D_{total}$ , at a certain age, t, can be estimated as the integral of the rate over time

$$D_{total}(t) = \int_0^t (H - R)dt$$
  
=  $\int_0^t [(\delta - \eta)B_{rest} + \eta E_m G]dt$   
=  $\int_0^t (\delta - \eta)B_{rest}dt + \int_0^t \eta E_m Gdt$ 

Because  $\delta$ ,  $\eta$ , and  $E_m$  are independent of time, t,

$$D_{total}(t) = (\delta - \eta) \int_0^t B_{rest} dt + \eta E_m \int_0^t G dt.$$
 (A1)

Here, we use the general observed scaling relation,  $B_{rest} = B_0 m(t)^{3/4}$ , and then the integral becomes  $\int_0^t B_{rest} dt = B_0 \int_0^t m(t)^{3/4} dt$ . This integral requires the analytic expression of growth curve, m(t). The ontogenetic growth model (Eq. 1 in West et al. [32]) gives a growth curve,

$$m(t) = M(1 - \left[1 - \left(\frac{m_0}{M}\right)^{1/4}\right]e^{\frac{-B_0 t}{4E_m M^{1/4}}})^4.$$

Below we show that the integral of  $m(t)^{3/4}$  over age t can be approximately expressed at  $M^{3/4} \times t$ , where M is the adult mass.

$$\begin{split} \int_{0}^{t} m(t)^{3/4} dt &= \int_{0}^{t} M^{3/4} \{ 1 - [1 - (\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}] e^{\frac{-B_{0}t}{4E_{m}M^{1/4}}} \}^{3} dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} M^{3/4} dt \\ &+ \int_{0}^{t} M^{3/4} \{ -3[1 - (\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}] e^{\frac{-B_{0}t}{4E_{m}M^{1/4}}} \\ &+ 3[1 - (\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}]^{2} e^{\frac{-B_{0}t}{2E_{m}M^{1/4}}} ] \\ &- [1 - (\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}]^{3} e^{\frac{-3B_{0}t}{4E_{m}M^{1/4}}} \} dt \end{split}$$

Adult size M is independent of t, which gives

.

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{t} m(t)^{3/4} dt = M^{3/4} t \\ &\quad + M^{3/4} \int_{0}^{t} \{-3[1 - (\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}] e^{\frac{-B_{0}t}{4E_{m}M^{1/4}}} \\ &\quad + 3[1 - (\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}]^{2} e^{\frac{-B_{0}t}{2E_{m}M^{1/4}}}] \\ &\quad - [1 - (\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}]^{3} e^{\frac{-3B_{0}t}{4E_{m}M^{1/4}}} \} dt \\ = M^{3/4} t \\ &\quad + \frac{E_{m}M}{B_{0}} \{ e^{\frac{-B_{0}t}{4E_{m}M^{1/4}}} [-12 + 12(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}] + [12 - 12(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}] \right. \\ &\quad + e^{\frac{-B_{0}t}{2E_{m}M^{1/4}}} [6 - 12(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4} + 6(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/2}] \\ &\quad - [6 - 12(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4} + 6(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/2}] \\ &\quad - e^{\frac{-3B_{0}t}{4E_{m}M^{1/4}}} [4/3 - 4(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4} + 4(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/2} - 4/3(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{3/4}] \\ &\quad + [4/3 - 4(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4} + 4(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/2} - 4/3(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{3/4}] \}. \end{split}$$

Put all the time dependent terms together as  $Z_1$  and time independent terms together as  $Z_2$ .

$$\int_0^t m(t)^{3/4} dt = Z_1 + Z_2,$$

where

$$\begin{split} Z_1 = & M^{3/4} t \\ &+ \frac{E_m M}{B_0} \{ e^{\frac{-B_0 t}{4E_m M^{1/4}}} [-12 + 12(\frac{m_0}{M})^{1/4}] \\ &+ e^{\frac{-B_0 t}{2E_m M^{1/4}}} [6 - 12(\frac{m_0}{M})^{1/4} + 6(\frac{m_0}{M})^{1/2}] \\ &- e^{\frac{-3B_0 t}{4E_m M^{1/4}}} [4/3 - 4(\frac{m_0}{M})^{1/4} + 4(\frac{m_0}{M})^{1/2} - 4/3(\frac{m_0}{M})^{3/4}] \} \\ . = & M^{3/4} t + Z_3 \end{split}$$

and

$$Z_{2} = [12 - 12(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}] - [6 - 12(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4} + 6(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/2}] + [4/3 - 4(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4} + 4(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/2} - 4/3(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{3/4}]\}$$
$$= \frac{22}{3} - 4(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4} - 2(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/2} - \frac{4}{3}(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{3/4}$$

Let

$$Z_{3} = \frac{E_{m}M}{B_{0}} \{ e^{\frac{-B_{0}t}{4E_{m}M^{1/4}}} [-12 + 12(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4}] + e^{\frac{-B_{0}t}{2E_{m}M^{1/4}}} [6 - 12(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4} + 6(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/2}] - e^{\frac{-3B_{0}t}{4E_{m}M^{1/4}}} [4/3 - 4(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/4} + 4(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{1/2} - 4/3(\frac{m_{0}}{M})^{3/4}] \}$$

then  $Z_1 = M^{3/4}t + Z_3$ . When age, t, is large,  $Z_3$  becomes negligible, because it decrease exponentially with time,  $\lim_{t\to\infty} Z_1 = M^{3/4}t$ .  $Z_2$  is a constant over time. It is small compared to  $M^{3/4}t$  in the integral for a large t. For example, if M = 500gram (for a rat), for 1000 days,  $Z_2 \approx 5\% M^{3/4}t$ ; if M = 50gram (for a mouse), for 1000 days,  $Z_2 \approx 2\% M^{3/4}t$ . Therefore, here we simplify  $\int_0^t m(t)^{3/4} dt \approx M^{3/4} t$ . Substituting this approximation to Eq.A1 gives

$$D_{total}(t) = (\delta - \eta) \int_0^t B_{rest} dt + \eta E_m \int_0^t G dt$$
  
=  $(\delta - \eta) B_0 \int_0^t m(t)^{3/4} dt + \eta E_m \int_0^t G dt$   
=  $(\delta - \eta) B_0 M^{3/4} dt + \eta E_m \int_0^t G dt$   
=  $(\delta - \eta) B_{adult, rest} t + \eta E_m \int_0^t G dt$ 

Since G = dm/dt,  $\int_0^t Gdt = \int_0^t dm = (m(t) - m_0)$ , which gives

$$D_{total}(t) = (\delta - \eta) B_{adult, rest} t + \eta E_m(m(t) - m_0).$$
(A2)

Therefor, the mass-specific damage, D, as a function of age t,

$$D(t) = (f\delta - \eta)B_{ms}t + \eta E_m(1 - \frac{m_0}{m(t)})$$
 (A3)

where  $B_{ms}$  is the mass-specific metabolic rate,  $B_{ms} = B_{rest}/m(t)$ , and the term  $(1 - \frac{m_0}{m(t)})$  comes from  $\frac{m(t)-m_0}{m(t)}$ .

## **3** Estimate of $E_m$ using data on growth curve and metabolic rate

The energy for biosynthesis comes from the resting metabolic energy. As different energetic growth models suggested [32,33,37], the rate of resting metabolic energy can be partitioned between the rates of energy allocated to maintenance and that allocated to biosynthesis, i.e.,  $B_{rest} = B_{rep} + B_{syn}$ , where  $B_{syn} = E_m \times G$ , and G is the growth rate, G = dm/dt. The value of  $E_m$  can be obtained by fitting the empirical growth curve with this energy partition equation using the resting metabolic rate,  $B_{rest}$ . In other words, no matter what the energy for activity is, as long as the resting metabolic rate is given, one can use this energy partition equation to fit the data and obtain  $E_m$ . In this study, we use the resting metabolic rates of mice and NMR and employed this equation to estimate the values of  $E_m$ . Thus, even if the activity level of NMR is extremely high (which does seem to be possible for a species with low body temperature and living underground, but lets assume it is the case), as long as the value of the resting metabolic rate  $(B_{rest})$  that we used to fit the equation is correct, the value of  $E_m$  is reliable.

Below we explain how the value of  $E_m$  can be obtained from the growth curve. Rearranging the growth curve

$$m(t) = M \times \left[1 - (1 - (m_0/M)^{0.25})e^{-(B_0 \times t)/(4E_m M^{0.25})}\right]^4$$

gives

$$E_m = \frac{B_0 \times t}{4M^{0.25}} \times ln \frac{1 - (m_o/M)^{0.25}}{1 - (m(t)/M)^{0.25}}.$$
 (A4)

Since birth mass  $m_0$  is 5 grams for both species, adult M is 32 grams for both species, and the values of  $B_0$  are given in the text.  $E_m$  is the only free parameter, and is can be obtained by fitting a simple linear regression via Eq.A4.